

Chronic graft-versus-host disease: biological insights from pre-clinical and clinical studies

Kelli PA MacDonald¹

Geoffrey R Hill^{2,3*}

Bruce R Blazar^{4*}

¹The Antigen Presentation and Immunoregulation Laboratory, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

²Bone Marrow Transplantation Laboratory, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia; ³ The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia

⁴ University of Minnesota, Masonic Cancer Center and the Department of Pediatrics, Division of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Minneapolis, USA

* Denotes authors contributed equally

Correspondence to: Kelli P. A. MacDonald, Antigen Presentation and Immunoregulation Laboratory, 300 Herston Road, Herston, QLD, 4006, Australia; e-mail:

kelli.macdonald@qimr.edu.au ; Geoffrey R. Hill, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, 300 Herston Rd, Herston, QLD, Australia, 4006, email:

Geoff.Hill@qimrberghofer.edu.au or Bruce R. Blazar, University of Minnesota, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, MMC 109, 420 SE Delaware St, Minneapolis, MN 55455; email: blaza001@umn.edu.

Running title: Basic biology of cGVHD

Abstract: 250; Word Count: 4,079; Figures: 1; References: 161

Abstract

With the increasingly use of mismatched, unrelated and G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood stem cell donor grafts and successful treatment of older recipients, chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD) has emerged as the major cause of non-relapse mortality and morbidity. Chronic GVHD is characterized by lichenoid changes and fibrosis that affects a multitude of tissues, compromising organ function. Beyond steroids, effective treatment options are limited. Thus new strategies to both prevent and treat disease are urgently required. Over the last 5 years our understanding of cGVHD pathogenesis and basic biology, born out a combination of mouse models and correlative clinical studies, has radically improved. We now understand that cGVHD is initiated by naïve T-cells, differentiating predominantly within highly inflammatory Th17/Tc17 and T-follicular helper paradigms with consequent thymic damage and impaired donor antigen presentation in the periphery. This leads to aberrant T and B cell activation and differentiation, which cooperate to generate antibody-secreting cells that cause the deposition of antibodies to polymorphic recipient antigens (i.e. alloantibody) or non-polymorphic antigens common to both recipient and donor (i.e. autoantibody). It is now clear that alloantibody can, in concert with CSF-1-dependent donor macrophages, induce a TGF β high environment locally within target tissue that results in scleroderma and bronchiolitis obliterans, diagnostic features of cGVHD. These findings have yielded a raft of potential new therapeutics, centered on naïve T-cell depletion, IL-17/21 inhibition, kinase inhibition, regulatory T cell restoration and CSF-1 inhibition. This new understanding of cGVHD finally gives hope that effective therapies are eminent for this devastating transplant complication.

Introduction

Chronic graft-versus-host disease (cGVHD) remains the major cause of morbidity and non-relapse mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT).¹⁻³ Progress in improving cGVHD prevention and therapy have been hindered by complexities in cGVHD diagnosis and staging,^{4,5} lack of uniform treatment response criteria,⁶ paucity of controlled trials,⁷ and access to new therapies with an established proof-of-concept or strong pathophysiological basis in preclinical models or are supported by analysis of human materials acquired from cGVHD patients.

This review draws from animal model and clinical studies to provide an overview and the authors combined interpretation of our current understanding of the cellular and molecular mediators of cGVHD. In turn, we highlight promising new therapeutic approaches. Additionally, we will provide our perspective of the gaps in cGVHD basic biology that deserve more attention as the prevalence of clinical cGVHD grows. Finally, we will review translation of current and possible future cGVHD therapies that have evolved from cGVHD basic biological insights.

Since no individual review can cover all aspects of cGVHD pathogenesis and preclinical studies leading to clinical applications, the reader is referred to several excellent reviews on this subject.⁸⁻¹³ Mouse models have served as a mainstay for recent advances in cGVHD therapies, and hence, will be a focus of this review. As virtually all patients receive some form of conditioning, non-conditioned murine cGVHD models will not be discussed in this review; instead we refer the reader to Chu et al.⁹

Chronic GVHD manifestations and initiating factors in the clinic

Chronic GVHD typically manifests with multi-organ pathology and historically has been defined temporally as GVHD that occurred later than 100 days post-SCT. The commonly seen diagnostic features, as outlined by the NIH consensus criteria¹⁴, include skin pathology varying from lichen planus-like lesions to full sclerosis, bronchiolitis obliterans (BO) and oral lichen planus-like lesions (i.e. skin, lung and mouth involvement). Esophageal webs and strictures and muscle or joint fasciitis are also diagnostic. Importantly, these diagnostic features can be seen before day 100 and may occur simultaneously with features commonly seen in acute GVHD (aGVHD) (e.g. macular-papular rashes, weight loss, diarrhoea and hepatitis). Thus cGVHD occurs as a continuum in time with clinical features that are distinct from, but not mutually exclusive with those seen in aGVHD.

Over the last decade G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood stem cell grafts (G-PBSC) have been rapidly adopted as an increasingly used stem cell source for SCT. From its inception, it was clear that G-CSF exerts immunomodulatory effects on the graft,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ resulting in altered transplant outcomes in patients receiving G-PBSC grafts as compared to unmanipulated bone marrow (BM) grafts with the primary advantage of G-PBSC grafts is accelerated engraftment. A randomized trial of BM versus G-PBSC revealed similar overall survival with secondary endpoints showing that G-PBSC grafts provided decreased graft failure but with increased cGVHD incidence.^{18,19} Consistent with G-CSF immune regulatory effects on PBSCs, aGVHD incidence was similar despite the higher T-cell dose that accompany G-PBSC grafts. Risk factors for cGVHD development include preceding aGVHD, use of PBSC,¹⁸ use of mismatched or unrelated donors (as opposed to matched siblings), transplant of female donors to male recipients, absence of ATG in conditioning and older recipients.²⁰ Given the expanding allo-SCT and G-PBSC graft use as well as the treatment of older recipients that historically were not candidates for allo-SCT, it is not surprising that the prevalence of cGVHD has reached new heights.

Overview of mouse models and cGVHD pathogenesis

With clinical cGVHD heterogeneity and frequent preceding aGVHD manifestations, it is somewhat surprising that GVHD models in mice have been described in the literature with such a clear demarcation as representing aGVHD or cGVHD. Similar to patients, it is now clear that transplanted mice receiving pre-SCT conditioning regimens, typically radiation-containing, can progress through a continuum of aGVHD to cGVHD which can evolve over time²¹. In fact, autoreactive T-cells can co-exist with or emerge from alloreactive T-cells.²²⁻²⁴ Indeed, many aGVHD model systems have been adapted to infuse lower donor T cell numbers²⁵⁻²⁷ or use G-CSF treatment of donors,²⁸ permitting mice to escape uniform aGVHD lethality and donor T-cells to chronically receive T-cell receptor (TCR) signals from host or donor alloantigen/peptide expressing cells. Features of cGVHD can be seen in most “aGVHD” models if T-cell doses are lowered and histopathology is later post-SCT (e.g. at 4-8 weeks), the latter time favouring both escape from aGVHD lethality and a period of chronic TCR signalling.²⁸

A noteworthy distinction between the pathology of aGVHD and cGVHD is the typical tissue inflammatory T-cell infiltrate and destructive features of aGVHD and the relatively acellular, fibroproliferative findings in cGVHD. In particular, scleroderma,^{15,16,22,23} BO,²⁵ and fibrosis in liver, GI tract, salivary glands and tongue can be seen in cGVHD mouse models.^{26,27,29} Intriguingly, many but not all cGVHD, appear to have either scleroderma (reviewed in³⁰) or multi-organ system involvement without scleroderma as their predominant manifestations, further highlighting the fact that no single model can replicate the wide spectrum of clinical manifestations which themselves are not all seen in an individual patient. There are no unique strain combinations that only cause cGVHD and are incapable of experiencing aGVHD if conditions are modified to favour aGVHD. Since aGVHD can attack the thymus, BM and

secondary lymphoid organs (SLO), preceding aGVHD, even at a subclinical level in mice and patients, may have profound immunological manifestations resulting in T-cell or especially B-cell depletion³¹⁻³³ or loss of thymic function^{34,35} that results in failed negative selection and loss of regulatory T-cell (Treg) production (see below).^{23,36-38} Conversely, strategies that prevent or treat cGVHD may be efficacious if they inhibit aGVHD, whereas in settings in which aGVHD is no longer present, successful cGVHD therapy approaches must focus on reversing fibrosis, if debilitating, and any ongoing immune mechanisms that continue to propagate the cGVHD injury response.

Further complicating the analysis of cGVHD pathogenesis using preclinical models is the specifics of cGVHD generation. As in patients, variables that can contribute to differences in cGVHD pathogenesis and its manifestations between laboratories include radiation dose/source/dose rate, use of chemotherapy, subset and numbers of infused donor T-cells and HCT source and manipulations, if any. Other key variables may include mouse vendors³⁹ and distinct microbiome colonization as well as antibiotic usage in each mouse colony that has been shown to affect immune responses,^{40,41} including aGVHD in mouse^{42,43} and humans⁴⁴. Recipient age may be a factor as older mice have augmented allostimulatory function.⁴⁵ Although in most cGVHD models, donor and host strains are sex-matched, if this is not the case, anti-HY responses could occur with female into male transplants potentially resulting in aGVHD in rodents⁴⁶ and cGVHD in patients.^{47,48} In our opinion there is no inherent predilection for cGVHD per se or scleroderma generation in minor histocompatibility antigen (miH) only disparate models, though such strain combinations are frequently employed for analysis of cGVHD pathogenesis. Rather we favour the explanation that the intensity of the GVHD response and responding T-cell type (CD4 vs CD8 subset and differentiation stage, cytokine profile, chemokine/integrin expression levels) are the major determining factors for aGVHD versus cGVHD independent of the type (MHC and/or miH) of antigenic disparities

between donor and host. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that miH only as well as models in which MHC antigen disparities are present each have been reported to induce aGVHD and cGVHD, dependent upon transplant conditions. Therefore, our collective recommendation is for the field to focus on discussing the immunological and pathophysiological mechanisms that result in cGVHD, not the system used. As such, we have chosen not to summarize particular strain combinations and SCT conditions that have been reported to cause cGVHD that is typically a part of such reviews.

Relationship between aGVHD and cGVHD pathogenesis

The initiation of and resultant target organ injury observed in both aGVHD and cGVHD is a consequence of the co-ordinated interplay between multiple cellular and molecular immune mediators that is dependent on the presence and function of donor graft T-cells.⁴⁹ Following SCT, tissue injury and inflammation characterised by pro-inflammatory cytokine release (e.g TNF, IL-6 and IL-1) is initiated by the conditioning regimen that would be common for both aGVHD and cGVHD, especially in the clinic, as both diseases can emanate in patients that receive the transplantation procedure. These cytokines, together with luminal damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) released from damaged gut tissue and the microbial luminal contents, results in the activation of antigen presenting cells (APC). Activated APC then prime naïve donor T-cells and preferentially drive Th1/Tc1 and Th17/Tc17 differentiation and expand T effector cells, which can mediate target tissue GVHD, including the thymus and SLO, as well as the skin, liver, GI tract and lung, likely predisposing to cGVHD later after SCT.

Whereas aGVHD is generally defined as a Th1/Th17 paradigm, which results in extensive tissue destruction characterized by apoptosis, cGVHD and aGVHD in fact may share

initiating mechanisms. For example, Th17/Tc17 cells have been shown, in some but not all systems, to cause either aGVHD⁵⁰⁻⁵² or sclerodermatous cGVHD.^{28,53} While donor natural killer (NK) cells, Tregs regulatory B-cells (Breg) and macrophages play important roles in dampening both aGVHD and cGVHD (see below), the role of B-cells in controlling aGVHD pathogenesis in murine models is more controversial.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ In cGVHD, there is a preponderance of evidence for an interplay between donor T-cells and donor B-cells for disease pathogenesis (see below). In this section we define the contribution of each of these mediators to cGVHD pathology as instructed by preclinical studies with confirmation in the clinical setting where applicable.

Thymic and peripheral T cell selection defects resulting in cGVHD

The donor graft T-cell compartment is comprised of antigen-inexperienced naïve and antigen-experienced T effector and memory subsets. In both pre-clinical and clinical studies, naïve T cell depleted grafts have a significantly reduced cGVHD incidence, while allowing transferred memory T-cells to contribute to immune reconstitution and protective immunity.^{57,58} As briefly mentioned above, failed intrathymic deletion of “autoreactive” donor T cells can also contribute to cGVHD as evidenced by cGVHD induced by reconstitution of murine recipients with T-cell depleted BM from allogeneic MHC class II deficient donors that precludes thymic DC mediated negative selection of maturing T-cells.³⁸ Intriguingly, thymectomy can prevent cGVHD pathology, suggesting that thymic dysfunction in cGVHD recipients favours selection of auto- and allo- reactive T cells. Moreover, cGVHD and/or its therapy themselves are highly detrimental to thymic function.⁵⁹ The possibility of shared mechanisms in the thymus and periphery is suggested by the finding of defective APC function in aGVHD mice.⁶⁰ Collectively these mechanisms can facilitate the emergence of

self-reactive thymic emigrants and cGVHD induction caused by the de novo generation of both auto- and allo-reactive donor CD4⁺ T-cells as indicated by their capacity to induce cGVHD pathology upon their adoptive transfer in both syngeneic and allogeneic secondary recipients.²⁴ Conversely, keratinocyte growth factor administration, by reducing aGVHD induced thymic injury, can improve thymopoiesis and restore thymic DC, resulting in amelioration of cGVHD.²⁴ In summary, both mature T-cells contained within the graft and precursor derived thymic T-cells mediate cGVHD pathology, however, their relative contribution to distinct cGVHD pathology and mechanism of action remain to be elucidated and is likely to vary between cGVHD models and between patients.

T cell effector mechanisms driving cGVHD pathology

Conventional T cells can be broadly divided into Th1/Tc1 (IFN γ), Th17/Tc17 (IL-17) and Th2 (IL-4/IL-10) subsets. Until recently, aGVHD was largely considered Th1-dominated, while cGVHD was considered to represent a Th2-mediated disease.^{12,61} This notion had its support in studies showing differential cytokine expression in aGVHD and cGVHD mice,⁶² Th2 cell accumulation in cGVHD mice,⁶³ the relationship between G-PBSC, Th2/plasmacytoid DC skewing and the higher incidence of cGVHD in patients.^{15,16,18} However, in both mice⁶⁴ and humans,⁶⁵ there is not a clear paradigm demonstrating that Th1 cells are required for aGVHD, while Th2 cells cause cGVHD.

Recently the Th/Tc-17 pathway has been shown to promote pathogenic autoimmune-mediated organ damage in multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease and psoriasis.⁶⁶ In systemic sclerosis, a condition closely resembling sclerodermatous cGVHD, fibrosis is mediated by Th17 cells infiltrating the skin and serum IL-17 levels positively correlate with disease severity.^{67,68} Preclinical and clinical data support a role for

IL-17 as a predictor⁶⁹ and central mediator of pathology, especially the skin.^{28,53,70,71} In a preclinical study, high G-CSF doses were shown to invoke type-17 rather than type-1 or type-2 T cell differentiation and amplification of IL-17 production occurred in both CD4 and CD8 T-cells.²⁸ Donor IL-17A, predominantly Tc17 derived, promoted skin pathology (dermal thickening, loss of subcutaneous fat and hair follicles and increased cellular infiltrate) and cutaneous fibrosis, manifesting as scleroderma, providing a logical explanation for the propensity of G-PBSC to invoke sclerodermatous cGVHD and highlighting Tc17 as an important cGVHD effector population. In clinical cGVHD studies, increased IL-17 mRNA transcripts and significant Tc17 infiltration were demonstrated in skin,⁷² while in the oral mucosa, Th17 infiltration dominated. Cytokines (IL-6; IL-21) known to support Th17 generation in GVHD are elevated in GVHD⁷³⁻⁷⁶ and STAT3, that drives Th17 development and Th17-dependent autoimmunity,⁷⁷ is essential for CD4+ T-cell-mediated sclerodermatous cGVHD.⁷⁸ Lichenoid cGVHD in patients have co-existing Th1 and Th17 cells with increased CD8+ T cells producing IL-17 and IFN γ ^{72,79} and IL-17 is systemically elevated late after SCT as cGVHD develops.⁷⁶ In multiple disease models including GVHD, both Th17 and Tc17 cells co-express multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-22, IFN γ , GM-CSF) and exhibit significant functional plasticity.^{50,80-83} Just how these IL-17 producing T-cells generate fibrosis remains to be elucidated but some clear pathways have been highlighted and are outlined below.

Burgeoning areas of investigation include analysis of: 1. TCR repertoires in the blood and organs of cGVHD mice and patients to determine whether there are dominant TCR clones that cause cGVHD; 2. Chemokine-facilitated migratory properties of T effector cells in cGVHD;^{84,85} and 3. the metabolic state of cGVHD T effector cells that may suggest interventional approaches to prevent or treat cGVHD, as has been shown in preclinical aGVHD models.⁸⁶⁻⁹⁰

B cells and antibodies in cGVHD pathogenesis

Emerging evidence supports an important role for donor B-cells in both the initiation and perpetuation of cGVHD. In both mice and humans, B-cell homeostasis and tolerance mechanisms are disrupted after SCT resulting in reduced memory B-cell formation and enrichment of activated transitional B-cells in the reconstituting donor B-cell pool.⁹¹⁻⁹³ A correlation between reduced IL-10-producing regulatory B cells (Breg or B10 cells⁹⁴) and cGVHD severity is increasingly reported.⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷ B cell activating factor (BAFF), a cytokine critical for the B-cell survival and maturation, is found in excess levels in patients with active cGVHD, resulting in increased BAFF/B cell ratios.^{98,99} In the setting of elevated BAFF levels, B-cells reactive against polymorphic recipient (allo) or non-polymorphic antigens shared by donor and host (auto) antigens, normally targeted for apoptotic death through negative selection, are protected and persist. Indeed, the association of BAFF and autoantibody production in cGVHD patients has been reported.⁹⁹ Recent pre-clinical studies in a multi-organ non-sclerodermatous cGVHD model have demonstrated the requirement for increased T follicular helper cells (Tfh), germinal center (GC) B cells and antibody which accumulates in target tissues, resulting in the development of some, although not all, manifestations of cGVHD.^{26,29,100,101} Tfh cells produce IL-21, a cytokine known to be critical for GC formation and the cutaneous and pulmonary manifestations of cGVHD.^{28,29} Alloantibodies (predominantly to HY antigen) have been well described in cGVHD and correlate with disease activity.^{47,48,102,103} Autoantibodies are widely detected in patients with cGVHD. While initial reports suggested antibodies to the PDGFR may be pathogenic,¹⁰⁴ this finding has been debated.¹⁰⁵ Mechanistically, the aberrant GC B-cell reaction seen in cGVHD results in antibody formation.²⁹ Elegant serum transfer experiments have now shown that antibody can be directly pathogenic and initiate disease.¹⁰⁶ Increasing BAFF concentrations

have been associated with pre-GC B cells and post-GC plasma-like cells in patients,¹⁰⁷ which may be the result of either GC or extra-follicular B cell responses, mechanisms yet to be determined in patients. While peripheral blood (PB) Tfh cell frequency has been reported to be reduced in patients with cGVHD,^{108,109} Tfh cells were skewed toward a highly activated profile with predominance of Th2 and Th17 (IL-17, IL-21 producing) subsets, increased functional ability to promote B-cell immunoglobulin secretion and maturation, and an activation signature highly correlated with increased B-cell activation and plasmablast maturation.¹⁰⁸ Since in rodents, GC B-cells were quantified in the spleen, a plausible explanation for the reduced PB Tfh frequency in cGVHD is that the Tfh cells are localized in GCs within SLO. However, cGVHD therapy or GVHD-induced injury to lymphoid organs resulting in decreased Tfh production cannot be excluded. Consistent with that hypothesis, high plasma CXCL3 levels, which are chemoattractant for T- and B-cells into SLO, have been detected in cGVHD patients.¹⁰⁸ Since cGVHD is also characterized by autoantibody formation, it remains to be established whether the pathogenic antibodies in question are directed solely to allogeneic polymorphic antigens or also to non-polymorphic “autologous” antigens shared by donor and recipient. Moreover, it is unclear whether antibody-dependent mechanisms are operative in all recipients with cGVHD, or only a subset; also unclear is the mechanism by which antibody initiates fibrosis and the cellular mediators involved remain to be elucidated.

Role of macrophages in cGVHD pathogenesis

Fibrotic injury is characterized by excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix (predominantly collagen) and fibroblasts, which replace parenchymal cells and impair normal tissue function. Macrophages play a crucial role in the tissue-repair response, are found in

close proximity with collagen-producing fibroblasts and as demonstrated in multiple disease models, contribute to fibrosis.^{110,111} In both preclinical and clinical cGVHD, macrophages have been shown to accumulate in fibrotic lesions.^{28,72,112} However the factors promoting macrophage tissue sequestration, and their mechanistic contribution to pathology have only recently been examined. In preclinical cGVHD models characterized by scleroderma or BO with multi-organ system fibrosis but without scleroderma, the sequestration of macrophages within skin and lung, and the subsequent development of cGVHD pathology, was shown to be both IL-17 and CSF-1 dependent.^{28,112} Tissue infiltrating macrophages were of donor origin, alternatively activated (skewed toward anti-inflammatory responses) as indicated by their expression of CD206 rather than iNOS, and promoted pathology through their production of TGF β a key cytokine for myofibroblast activation and collagen production. Importantly, the attenuation of CSF-1R signalling using an anti-CSF-1R blocking antibody depleted circulating and tissue associated Ly6C^{lo} monocytes, ablated tissue infiltrating macrophages and markedly attenuated both cutaneous and pre-existing pulmonary cGVHD.¹¹² The mechanism by which IL-17 contributes to pathogenic macrophage migration and differentiation in cGVHD target organs remains undefined. However, IL-17 has been reported to function as a monocyte chemokine, to promote monocyte adhesion and elicit a proinflammatory transcriptome in macrophages, suggesting direct signalling of this lineage may be involved.¹¹³ Other pro-inflammatory cytokines co-produced by Tc17/Th17 such as GM-CSF⁵⁰ may contribute synergistically to macrophage differentiation/polarization at localized sites.

Macrophages express very high levels of Fc-gamma receptors and are highly efficient at opsonisation of antibody-coated targets which in turn can generate very high levels of TGF β .^{114,115} Consistent with a link between antibody secretion and fibrosis, mice incapable of producing B cells or that produce B cells incapable of IgG isotype switching,²⁶ or who

receive agents that either preclude GC formation^{29,73,116} or deplete B cells^{29,117-119} are unable to induce fibrosis or cGVHD. Thus, whilst unproven at this point, the interaction of allo- (and/or auto)-antibody with tissue macrophages would appear an attractive unifying mechanism driving the aberrant macrophage differentiation and function that culminates in tissue fibrosis during cGVHD.

Immune Regulators of cGVHD

Immune populations contained within the graft or that emerge from graft progeny, can exhibit immune modulatory capacity.¹²⁰ Treg, defined by their co-expression of CD4, CD25, and the master transcription factor FoxP3, are critical for the control of innate and adaptive immune responses and can mediate tissue regeneration via amphiregulin release.¹²¹ GC migratory Tregs, known as Tfollicular regulatory cells, suppress GC responses.¹²² Treg number or function perturbations lead to the development of autoimmune diseases and are thought to contribute to acute and chronic GVHD pathology.^{8,10,123} Both preclinical and clinical studies demonstrate that donor graft Treg number inversely correlates with aGVHD,¹²⁴⁻¹²⁸ and cGVHD is associated with decreased numbers of circulating Treg.^{21,129-131} Factors contributing to diminished Treg numbers in cGVHD recipients remain to be fully elucidated although there are multiple candidates including diminished thymic production, reduced proliferative capacity of naïve Treg¹³² and a failure in memory Treg survival due to their increased susceptibility to apoptosis.^{131,133} DC play an important role in the maintenance of Treg in steady state and following SCT,^{88,134,135} including cGVHD.^{88,134-136} However, in recent preclinical studies, donor DC MHC class II antigen presentation was shown to be impaired during aGVHD, and this resulted in a failure of Treg homeostasis that promoted cGVHD pathology.^{60,136}

Although less well-studied, altered Breg and NK development after SCT is thought to contribute to cGVHD. Breg function to suppress immune responses through multiple IL-10 and cell-cell contact-dependant mechanisms, including suppression of CD4 T cell proliferation and IFN γ production, and monocyte TNF production.^{137,138} In patients with cGVHD recent studies show that Breg numbers, including IgM memory and transitional subsets, are reduced and exhibit a diminished capacity to produce IL-10.^{95,97} Enhanced NK reconstitution has also been shown to correlate with reduced incidence of cGVHD in the clinical setting,¹³⁹ although not all studies show an inverse correlation between alloreactive NK cells and cGVHD.¹⁴⁰ Mechanistically, in preclinical studies, NK contribute to the regulation of CD4 and CD8 T cell expansion through Fas mediated killing and competition for IL-15, respectively.^{141,142} Additionally, NK also produce cytokines that promote tissue regeneration, although whether this represents a functioning cGVHD mechanism remains to be investigated.¹⁴³ Together these studies highlight the potential clinical utility of therapeutic strategies, which promote the expansion of Breg and NK after transplant.

New therapeutic strategies based on recent insights to pathophysiology

Treatment of cGVHD is currently based on steroid administration and while many other approaches, including additional immune suppressants, UVB phototherapy and extracorporeal photophoresis are commonly employed, none have proven clearly effective.^{144,145} Thus, well designed prospective studies based on NIH response criteria and our new understanding of cGVHD pathophysiology are needed. We now know that cGVHD develops via a complex cellular and molecular network involving thymic damage and aberrant antigen presentation leading to aberrant T- and B-cell reaction characterized by Th17/Tc17 differentiation, macrophage sequestration in tissue, alloantibody formation and TGF β -dependent fibrosis (Fig 1). Collectively, these studies highlight a number of

therapeutic options. From a preventative aspect, the direct removal of naïve $\alpha\beta$ T cells from the graft (e.g. using in vitro magnetic-based antibody approaches of T cell removal or CD34⁺ stem cell selection)^{58,146} or depletion of differentiating T-cells early after transplant (e.g. by administering post-transplant cyclophosphamide to preferentially deplete alloreactive T-cells whilst sparing Treg)¹⁴⁷ appears highly effective at eliminating cGVHD. Approaches to inhibit the more terminal stages of aberrant (Th17/Tfh) T cell development in cGVHD include small molecule ROR γ ^t¹⁴⁸ or STAT3 inhibitors and antibody-based therapeutics targeting IL-17 or IL-21 and their receptors.^{28,29}

Strategies to enhance Treg numbers after SCT including Treg adoptive therapy to reconstitute the Treg pool have been adopted from rodent studies and are showing potential in the clinic.^{127,128,146,149-152} Recent preclinical studies show that Treg adoptive transfer can both prevent and treat cGVHD in mice with multi-organ system disease.^{136,153} Given the failure of Treg during cGVHD and the challenges of generating sufficient Treg for adoptive transfer to treat cGVHD patients, restorative approaches to date have focused on low dose IL-2 administration to expand Treg in vivo with approximately 50% of patients showing Treg expansion and some clinical response as long as therapy is continued.^{154,155} Recently the adoptive transfer of Treg with or without IL-2 and/or rapamycin has begun to be tested in clinical trials in an effort to increase the proportion and depth of patient responses.

Approaches targeting B-cells involve the prevention of aberrant B cell development by administration of CD20 mAb that appear effective in reducing disease severity in cGVHD patients when used as a preventative but not treatment strategy, likely due to the more effective B-cell depletion than that of antibody-secreting plasmablasts and plasma cells formed after cGVHD is established.^{156,157} Pursuing pharmacological agents that inhibit B- (with or without T-) cell activation, differentiation and GC integrity by kinase inhibition (e.g.

Syk kinase, Fostamatinib;¹¹⁸ Bruton kinase; Ibrutinib;¹¹⁷ Rho-associated kinase, KD025,⁷³ and Janus Kinase-1, Ruxolitinib¹⁵⁸) have a strong biological foundation, as confirmed in part by promising early clinical results already achieved with Ruxolitinib¹⁵⁹ and Ibrutinib. At the most final stage of aberrant B cell response, depletion of alloantibody-producing plasma cells by proteasome inhibition (e.g. Bortezomib) is supported by evidence of efficacy in animal systems and early clinical studies.¹⁶⁰ Finally, targeting macrophages by preventing differentiation and survival in tissue through the inhibition of the CSF-1 receptor has proven highly effective in animal systems,¹¹² as has the inhibition of TGF β .^{112,161}

Acknowledgements:

We thank members of our laboratories, our collaborators, and the scientific community for providing the foundation for this review. We apologize to those investigators whose work we were unable to cite here. This work was supported by grants from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) APP1031728 (KPAM), National Cancer Institute grants P01 CA142106-06A1, P01 CA047741-20, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases grants P01 AI056299, R01 AI11879, Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Translational Research grant 6458-15 and 6462-15 (BRB). GRH is a NH&MRC Senior Principal Research Fellow and Queensland Health Senior Clinical Research Fellow. KPAM is a Cancer Council Queensland Senior Research Fellow. Lastly, we thank the patients who have participated in clinical studies that have fostered the advancement of the new therapies for this devastating disease.

Author contributions:

KPAM, GRH, and BRB wrote the paper.

Conflict of interest statement:

Authors state no conflict of interest related to the above work.

References

1. Flowers ME, Martin PJ. How we treat chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jan 22 2015;125(4):606-615.
2. Martin PJ, Counts GW, Jr., Appelbaum FR, et al. Life expectancy in patients surviving more than 5 years after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. Feb 20 2010;28(6):1011-1016.
3. Wingard JR, Majhail NS, Brazauskas R, et al. Long-term survival and late deaths after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. Jun 1 2011;29(16):2230-2239.
4. Arai S, Jagasia M, Storer B, et al. Global and organ-specific chronic graft-versus-host disease severity according to the 2005 NIH Consensus Criteria. *Blood*. Oct 13 2011;118(15):4242-4249.
5. Jacobsohn DA, Kurland BF, Pidala J, et al. Correlation between NIH composite skin score, patient-reported skin score, and outcome: results from the Chronic GVHD Consortium. *Blood*. Sep 27 2012;120(13):2545-2552; quiz 2774.
6. Shulman HM, Cardona DM, Greenson JK, et al. NIH Consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: II. The 2014 Pathology Working Group Report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Apr 2015;21(4):589-603.
7. Martin PJ, Lee SJ, Przepiorka D, et al. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: VI. The 2014 Clinical Trial Design Working Group Report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Aug 2015;21(8):1343-1359.
8. Blazar BR, Murphy WJ, Abedi M. Advances in graft-versus-host disease biology and therapy. *Nature reviews. Immunology*. Jun 2012;12(6):443-458.
9. Chu YW, Gress RE. Murine models of chronic graft-versus-host disease: insights and unresolved issues. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Apr 2008;14(4):365-378.
10. McDonald-Hyman C, Turka LA, Blazar BR. Advances and challenges in immunotherapy for solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Science translational medicine*. Mar 25 2015;7(280):280rv282.
11. Schroeder MA, DiPersio JF. Mouse models of graft-versus-host disease: advances and limitations. *Disease models & mechanisms*. May 2011;4(3):318-333.
12. Shlomchik WD, Lee SJ, Couriel D, Pavletic SZ. Transplantation's greatest challenges: advances in chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jan 2007;13(1 Suppl 1):2-10.
13. Socie G, Ritz J. Current issues in chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jul 17 2014;124(3):374-384.
14. Jagasia MH, Greinix HT, Arora M, et al. National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Project on Criteria for Clinical Trials in Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease: I. The 2014 Diagnosis and Staging Working Group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Mar 2015;21(3):389-401 e381.
15. Arpinati M, Green CL, Heimfeld S, Heuser JE, Anasetti C. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor mobilizes T helper 2-inducing dendritic cells. *Blood*. Apr 15 2000;95(8):2484-2490.
16. Morris ES, MacDonald KP, Rowe V, et al. Donor treatment with pegylated G-CSF augments the generation of IL-10-producing regulatory T cells and promotes transplantation tolerance. *Blood*. May 1 2004;103(9):3573-3581.
17. Pan L, Delmonte J, Jr., Jalonon CK, Ferrara JL. Pretreatment of donor mice with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor polarizes donor T lymphocytes toward type-2 cytokine production and reduces severity of experimental graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Dec 15 1995;86(12):4422-4429.

18. Anasetti C, Logan BR, Lee SJ, et al. Peripheral-blood stem cells versus bone marrow from unrelated donors. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 18 2012;367(16):1487-1496.
19. Allogeneic peripheral blood stem-cell compared with bone marrow transplantation in the management of hematologic malignancies: an individual patient data meta-analysis of nine randomized trials. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. Aug 1 2005;23(22):5074-5087.
20. Flowers ME, Inamoto Y, Carpenter PA, et al. Comparative analysis of risk factors for acute graft-versus-host disease and for chronic graft-versus-host disease according to National Institutes of Health consensus criteria. *Blood*. Mar 17 2011;117(11):3214-3219.
21. Chen X, Vodanovic-Jankovic S, Johnson B, Keller M, Komorowski R, Drobyski WR. Absence of regulatory T-cell control of TH1 and TH17 cells is responsible for the autoimmune-mediated pathology in chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Nov 15 2007;110(10):3804-3813.
22. Anderson BE, McNiff JM, Matte C, Athanasiadis I, Shlomchik WD, Shlomchik MJ. Recipient CD4+ T cells that survive irradiation regulate chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Sep 1 2004;104(5):1565-1573.
23. Tivol E, Komorowski R, Drobyski WR. Emergent autoimmunity in graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jun 15 2005;105(12):4885-4891.
24. Zhang Y, Hexner E, Frank D, Emerson SG. CD4+ T cells generated de novo from donor hemopoietic stem cells mediate the evolution from acute to chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Immunol*. Sep 1 2007;179(5):3305-3314.
25. Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Tram KV, Price AP, Wendt CH, Blazar BR. A new murine model for bronchiolitis obliterans post-bone marrow transplant. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. Oct 1 2007;176(7):713-723.
26. Srinivasan M, Flynn R, Price A, et al. Donor B-cell alloantibody deposition and germinal center formation are required for the development of murine chronic GVHD and bronchiolitis obliterans. *Blood*. Feb 9 2012;119(6):1570-1580.
27. Wu T, Young JS, Johnston H, et al. Thymic damage, impaired negative selection, and development of chronic graft-versus-host disease caused by donor CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol*. Jul 1 2013;191(1):488-499.
28. Hill GR, Olver SD, Kuns RD, et al. Stem cell mobilization with G-CSF induces type 17 differentiation and promotes scleroderma. *Blood*. Aug 5 2010;116(5):819-828.
29. Flynn R, Du J, Veenstra RG, et al. Increased T follicular helper cells and germinal center B cells are required for cGVHD and bronchiolitis obliterans. *Blood*. Jun 19 2014;123(25):3988-3998.
30. Reddy P, Negrin R, Hill GR. Mouse models of bone marrow transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jan 2008;14(1 Suppl 1):129-135.
31. Baker MB, Riley RL, Podack ER, Levy RB. Graft-versus-host-disease-associated lymphoid hypoplasia and B cell dysfunction is dependent upon donor T cell-mediated Fas-ligand function, but not perforin function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Feb 18 1997;94(4):1366-1371.
32. Garvy BA, Elia JM, Hamilton BL, Riley RL. Suppression of B-cell development as a result of selective expansion of donor T cells during the minor H antigen graft-versus-host reaction. *Blood*. Nov 1 1993;82(9):2758-2766.
33. Storek J, Wells D, Dawson MA, Storer B, Maloney DG. Factors influencing B lymphopoiesis after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Blood*. Jul 15 2001;98(2):489-491.
34. Krenger W, Hollander GA. The immunopathology of thymic GVHD. *Seminars in immunopathology*. Dec 2008;30(4):439-456.
35. van den Brink MR, Moore E, Ferrara JL, Burakoff SJ. Graft-versus-host-disease-associated thymic damage results in the appearance of T cell clones with anti-host reactivity. *Transplantation*. Feb 15 2000;69(3):446-449.

36. Dertschnig S, Hauri-Hohl MM, Vollmer M, Hollander GA, Krenger W. Impaired thymic expression of tissue-restricted antigens licenses the de novo generation of autoreactive CD4+ T cells in acute GVHD. *Blood*. Apr 23 2015;125(17):2720-2723.
37. Hollander GA, Widmer B, Burakoff SJ. Loss of normal thymic repertoire selection and persistence of autoreactive T cells in graft vs host disease. *J Immunol*. Feb 15 1994;152(4):1609-1617.
38. Sakoda Y, Hashimoto D, Asakura S, et al. Donor-derived thymic-dependent T cells cause chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Feb 15 2007;109(4):1756-1764.
39. Ivanov, II, Atarashi K, Manel N, et al. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. *Cell*. Oct 30 2009;139(3):485-498.
40. Bennett M, Taylor PA, Austin M, et al. Cytokine and cytotoxic pathways of NK cell rejection of class I-deficient bone marrow grafts: influence of mouse colony environment. *Int Immunol*. Jun 1998;10(6):785-790.
41. Beura LK, Hamilton SE, Bi K, et al. Normalizing the environment recapitulates adult human immune traits in laboratory mice. *Nature*. Apr 28 2016;532(7600):512-516.
42. Jenq RR, Ubeda C, Taur Y, et al. Regulation of intestinal inflammation by microbiota following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *J Exp Med*. May 7 2012;209(5):903-911.
43. Mathewson ND, Jenq R, Mathew AV, et al. Gut microbiome-derived metabolites modulate intestinal epithelial cell damage and mitigate graft-versus-host disease. *Nat Immunol*. May 2016;17(5):505-513.
44. Shono Y, Docampo MD, Peled JU, et al. Increased GVHD-related mortality with broad-spectrum antibiotic use after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in human patients and mice. *Science translational medicine*. May 18 2016;8(339):339ra371.
45. Ordemann R, Hutchinson R, Friedman J, et al. Enhanced allostimulatory activity of host antigen-presenting cells in old mice intensifies acute graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest*. May 2002;109(9):1249-1256.
46. Toubai T, Tawara I, Sun Y, et al. Induction of acute GVHD by sex-mismatched H-Y antigens in the absence of functional radiosensitive host hematopoietic-derived antigen-presenting cells. *Blood*. Apr 19 2012;119(16):3844-3853.
47. Miklos DB, Kim HT, Miller KH, et al. Antibody responses to H-Y minor histocompatibility antigens correlate with chronic graft-versus-host disease and disease remission. *Blood*. Apr 1 2005;105(7):2973-2978.
48. Zorn E, Miklos DB, Floyd BH, et al. Minor histocompatibility antigen DBY elicits a coordinated B and T cell response after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *J Exp Med*. Apr 19 2004;199(8):1133-1142.
49. Hill GR, Ferrara JL. The primacy of the gastrointestinal tract as a target organ of acute graft-versus-host disease: rationale for the use of cytokine shields in allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. May 1 2000;95(9):2754-2759.
50. Gartlan KH, Markey KA, Varelias A, et al. Tc17 cells are a proinflammatory, plastic lineage of pathogenic CD8+ T cells that induce GVHD without antileukemic effects. *Blood*. Sep 24 2015;126(13):1609-1620.
51. Kappel LW, Goldberg GL, King CG, et al. IL-17 contributes to CD4-mediated graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jan 22 2009;113(4):945-952.
52. Yi T, Chen Y, Wang L, et al. Reciprocal differentiation and tissue-specific pathogenesis of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells in graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Oct 1 2009;114(14):3101-3112.
53. Carlson MJ, West ML, Coghill JM, Panoskaltis-Mortari A, Blazar BR, Serody JS. In vitro-differentiated TH17 cells mediate lethal acute graft-versus-host disease with severe cutaneous and pulmonary pathologic manifestations. *Blood*. Feb 5 2009;113(6):1365-1374.
54. Matte-Martone C, Wang X, Anderson B, et al. Recipient B cells are not required for graft-versus-host disease induction. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Sep 2010;16(9):1222-1230.

55. Rowe V, Banovic T, MacDonald KP, et al. Host B cells produce IL-10 following TBI and attenuate acute GVHD after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. Oct 1 2006;108(7):2485-2492.
56. Weber M, Stein P, Pruffer S, et al. Donor and host B cell-derived IL-10 contributes to suppression of graft-versus-host disease. *Eur J Immunol*. Jun 2014;44(6):1857-1865.
57. Anderson BE, McNiff J, Yan J, et al. Memory CD4+ T cells do not induce graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest*. Jul 2003;112(1):101-108.
58. Bleakley M, Heimfeld S, Loeb KR, et al. Outcomes of acute leukemia patients transplanted with naive T cell-depleted stem cell grafts. *J Clin Invest*. Jul 1 2015;125(7):2677-2689.
59. Weinberg K, Blazar BR, Wagner JE, et al. Factors affecting thymic function after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. Mar 1 2001;97(5):1458-1466.
60. Markey KA, Koyama M, Kuns RD, et al. Immune insufficiency during GVHD is due to defective antigen presentation within dendritic cell subsets. *Blood*. Jun 14 2012;119(24):5918-5930.
61. Coghill JM, Sarantopoulos S, Moran TP, Murphy WJ, Blazar BR, Serody JS. Effector CD4+ T cells, the cytokines they generate, and GVHD: something old and something new. *Blood*. Mar 24 2011;117(12):3268-3276.
62. Allen RD, Staley TA, Sidman CL. Differential cytokine expression in acute and chronic murine graft-versus-host-disease. *Eur J Immunol*. Feb 1993;23(2):333-337.
63. Via CS, Rus V, Gately MK, Finkelman FD. IL-12 stimulates the development of acute graft-versus-host disease in mice that normally would develop chronic, autoimmune graft-versus-host disease. *J Immunol*. Nov 1 1994;153(9):4040-4047.
64. Murphy WJ, Welniak LA, Taub DD, et al. Differential effects of the absence of interferon-gamma and IL-4 in acute graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in mice. *J Clin Invest*. Nov 1 1998;102(9):1742-1748.
65. Ochs LA, Blazar BR, Roy J, Rest EB, Weisdorf DJ. Cytokine expression in human cutaneous chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. Jun 1996;17(6):1085-1092.
66. Steinman L. A brief history of T(H)17, the first major revision in the T(H)1/T(H)2 hypothesis of T cell-mediated tissue damage. *Nat Med*. Feb 2007;13(2):139-145.
67. Murata M, Fujimoto M, Matsushita T, et al. Clinical association of serum interleukin-17 levels in systemic sclerosis: is systemic sclerosis a Th17 disease? *J Dermatol Sci*. Jun 2008;50(3):240-242.
68. Yoshizaki A, Yanaba K, Iwata Y, et al. Cell adhesion molecules regulate fibrotic process via Th1/Th2/Th17 cell balance in a bleomycin-induced scleroderma model. *J Immunol*. Aug 15 2010;185(4):2502-2515.
69. Li W, Liu L, Gomez A, et al. Proteomics analysis reveals a Th17-prone cell population in presymptomatic graft-versus-host disease. *JCI insight*. May 5 2016;1(6).
70. Dander E, Balduzzi A, Zappa G, et al. Interleukin-17-producing T-helper cells as new potential player mediating graft-versus-host disease in patients undergoing allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. *Transplantation*. Dec 15 2009;88(11):1261-1272.
71. Serody JS, Hill GR. The IL-17 differentiation pathway and its role in transplant outcome. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Jan 2012;18(1 Suppl):S56-61.
72. Bruggen MC, Klein I, Greinix H, et al. Diverse T-cell responses characterize the different manifestations of cutaneous graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jan 9 2014;123(2):290-299.
73. Flynn R, Paz K, Du J, et al. Targeted Rho-associated kinase 2 inhibition suppresses murine and human chronic GVHD through a Stat3-dependent mechanism. *Blood*. Apr 28 2016;127(17):2144-2154.
74. Lu L, Lan Q, Li Z, et al. Critical role of all-trans retinoic acid in stabilizing human natural regulatory T cells under inflammatory conditions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Aug 19 2014;111(33):E3432-3440.

75. Varelias A, Gartlan KH, Kreijveld E, et al. Lung parenchyma-derived IL-6 promotes IL-17A-dependent acute lung injury after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. Apr 9 2015;125(15):2435-2444.
76. Kennedy GA, Varelias A, Vuckovic S, et al. Addition of interleukin-6 inhibition with tocilizumab to standard graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation: a phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Dec 2014;15(13):1451-1459.
77. Harris TJ, Grosso JF, Yen HR, et al. Cutting edge: An in vivo requirement for STAT3 signaling in TH17 development and TH17-dependent autoimmunity. *J Immunol*. Oct 1 2007;179(7):4313-4317.
78. Radojic V, Pletneva MA, Yen HR, et al. STAT3 signaling in CD4+ T cells is critical for the pathogenesis of chronic sclerodermatous graft-versus-host disease in a murine model. *J Immunol*. Jan 15 2010;184(2):764-774.
79. Broady R, Yu J, Chow V, et al. Cutaneous GVHD is associated with the expansion of tissue-localized Th1 and not Th17 cells. *Blood*. Dec 16 2010;116(25):5748-5751.
80. Kara EE, McKenzie DR, Bastow CR, et al. CCR2 defines in vivo development and homing of IL-23-driven GM-CSF-producing Th17 cells. *Nature communications*. 2015;6:8644.
81. Muranski P, Restifo NP. Essentials of Th17 cell commitment and plasticity. *Blood*. Mar 28 2013;121(13):2402-2414.
82. Yeh N, Glosson NL, Wang N, et al. Tc17 cells are capable of mediating immunity to vaccinia virus by acquisition of a cytotoxic phenotype. *J Immunol*. Aug 15 2010;185(4):2089-2098.
83. Yen HR, Harris TJ, Wada S, et al. Tc17 CD8 T cells: functional plasticity and subset diversity. *J Immunol*. Dec 1 2009;183(11):7161-7168.
84. Croudace JE, Inman CF, Abbotts BE, et al. Chemokine-mediated tissue recruitment of CXCR3+ CD4+ T cells plays a major role in the pathogenesis of chronic GVHD. *Blood*. Nov 15 2012;120(20):4246-4255.
85. Kitko CL, Levine JE, Storer BE, et al. Plasma CXCL9 elevations correlate with chronic GVHD diagnosis. *Blood*. Jan 30 2014;123(5):786-793.
86. Byersdorfer CA, Tkachev V, Opipari AW, et al. Effector T cells require fatty acid metabolism during murine graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Oct 31 2013;122(18):3230-3237.
87. Gatz E, Wahl DR, Opipari AW, et al. Manipulating the bioenergetics of alloreactive T cells causes their selective apoptosis and arrests graft-versus-host disease. *Science translational medicine*. Jan 26 2011;3(67):67ra68.
88. Marcondes AM, Karoopongse E, Lesnikova M, et al. alpha-1-Antitrypsin (AAT)-modified donor cells suppress GVHD but enhance the GVL effect: a role for mitochondrial bioenergetics. *Blood*. Oct 30 2014;124(18):2881-2891.
89. Nguyen HD, Chatterjee S, Haarberg KM, et al. Metabolic reprogramming of alloantigen-activated T cells after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Clin Invest*. Apr 1 2016;126(4):1337-1352.
90. Saha A, Aoyama K, Taylor PA, et al. Host programmed death ligand 1 is dominant over programmed death ligand 2 expression in regulating graft-versus-host disease lethality. *Blood*. Oct 24 2013;122(17):3062-3073.
91. Greinix HT, Pohlreich D, Kouba M, et al. Elevated numbers of immature/transitional CD21- B lymphocytes and deficiency of memory CD27+ B cells identify patients with active chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Feb 2008;14(2):208-219.
92. Corre E, Carmagnat M, Busson M, et al. Long-term immune deficiency after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: B-cell deficiency is associated with late infections. *Haematologica*. Jun 2010;95(6):1025-1029.
93. Allen JL, Fore MS, Wooten J, et al. B cells from patients with chronic GVHD are activated and primed for survival via BAFF-mediated pathways. *Blood*. Sep 20 2012;120(12):2529-2536.
94. Tedder TF. B10 cells: a functionally defined regulatory B cell subset. *J Immunol*. Feb 15 2015;194(4):1395-1401.

95. Khoder A, Sarvaria A, Alsuliman A, et al. Regulatory B cells are enriched within the IgM memory and transitional subsets in healthy donors but are deficient in chronic GVHD. *Blood*. Sep 25 2014;124(13):2034-2045.
96. Le Huu D, Matsushita T, Jin G, et al. Donor-derived regulatory B cells are important for suppression of murine sclerodermatous chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Apr 18 2013;121(16):3274-3283.
97. de Masson A, Bouaziz JD, Le Buanec H, et al. CD24(hi)CD27(+) and plasmablast-like regulatory B cells in human chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Mar 12 2015;125(11):1830-1839.
98. Fujii H, Cuvelier G, She K, et al. Biomarkers in newly diagnosed pediatric-extensive chronic graft-versus-host disease: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood*. Mar 15 2008;111(6):3276-3285.
99. Sarantopoulos S, Stevenson KE, Kim HT, et al. High levels of B-cell activating factor in patients with active chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. Oct 15 2007;13(20):6107-6114.
100. Patriarca F, Skert C, Sperotto A, et al. The development of autoantibodies after allogeneic stem cell transplantation is related with chronic graft-vs-host disease and immune recovery. *Exp Hematol*. Mar 2006;34(3):389-396.
101. Young JS, Wu T, Chen Y, et al. Donor B cells in transplants augment clonal expansion and survival of pathogenic CD4+ T cells that mediate autoimmune-like chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Immunol*. Jul 1 2012;189(1):222-233.
102. Miklos DB, Kim HT, Zorn E, et al. Antibody response to DBY minor histocompatibility antigen is induced after allogeneic stem cell transplantation and in healthy female donors. *Blood*. Jan 1 2004;103(1):353-359.
103. Sahaf B, Yang Y, Arai S, Herzenberg LA, Herzenberg LA, Miklos DB. H-Y antigen-binding B cells develop in male recipients of female hematopoietic cells and associate with chronic graft vs. host disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Feb 19 2013;110(8):3005-3010.
104. Svegliati S, Olivieri A, Campelli N, et al. Stimulatory autoantibodies to PDGF receptor in patients with extensive chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jul 1 2007;110(1):237-241.
105. Spies-Weissart B, Schilling K, Bohmer F, Hochhaus A, Sayer HG, Scholl S. Lack of association of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor autoantibodies and severity of chronic graft-versus-host disease (GvHD). *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology*. Aug 2013;139(8):1397-1404.
106. Jin H, Ni X, Deng R, et al. Antibodies from donor B cells perpetuate cutaneous chronic graft-versus-host disease in mice. *Blood*. May 5 2016;127(18):2249-2260.
107. Sarantopoulos S, Stevenson KE, Kim HT, et al. Altered B-cell homeostasis and excess BAFF in human chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Apr 16 2009;113(16):3865-3874.
108. Forcade E, Kim HT, Cutler C, et al. Circulating T follicular helper cells with increased function during chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. May 19 2016;127(20):2489-2497.
109. Knorr DA, Wang H, Aurora M, et al. Loss of T Follicular Helper Cells in the Peripheral Blood of Patients with Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. May 2016;22(5):825-833.
110. Duffield JS, Forbes SJ, Constandinou CM, et al. Selective depletion of macrophages reveals distinct, opposing roles during liver injury and repair. *J Clin Invest*. Jan 2005;115(1):56-65.
111. Gangadharan B, Hoeve MA, Allen JE, et al. Murine gammaherpesvirus-induced fibrosis is associated with the development of alternatively activated macrophages. *J Leukoc Biol*. Jul 2008;84(1):50-58.
112. Alexander KA, Flynn R, Lineburg KE, et al. CSF-1-dependant donor-derived macrophages mediate chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest*. Oct 2014;124(10):4266-4280.

113. Erbel C, Akhavanpoor M, Okuyucu D, et al. IL-17A influences essential functions of the monocyte/macrophage lineage and is involved in advanced murine and human atherosclerosis. *J Immunol*. Nov 1 2014;193(9):4344-4355.
114. Clancy RM, Buyon JP. Clearance of apoptotic cells: TGF-beta in the balance between inflammation and fibrosis. *J Leukoc Biol*. Dec 2003;74(6):959-960.
115. Clancy J, Jr., Tonder O, Boettcher CE. The effect of neonatal rat graft-vs-host disease (GVHD) on Fc receptor lymphocytes. *J Immunol*. Jan 1976;116(1):210-217.
116. Hechinger AK, Smith BA, Flynn R, et al. Therapeutic activity of multiple common gamma-chain cytokine inhibition in acute and chronic GVHD. *Blood*. Jan 15 2015;125(3):570-580.
117. Dubovsky JA, Flynn R, Du J, et al. Ibrutinib treatment ameliorates murine chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Invest*. Nov 2014;124(11):4867-4876.
118. Flynn R, Allen JL, Luznik L, et al. Targeting Syk-activated B cells in murine and human chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jun 25 2015;125(26):4085-4094.
119. Johnston HF, Xu Y, Racine JJ, et al. Administration of anti-CD20 mAb is highly effective in preventing but ineffective in treating chronic graft-versus-host disease while preserving strong graft-versus-leukemia effects. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. Aug 2014;20(8):1089-1103.
120. Ohkura N, Kitagawa Y, Sakaguchi S. Development and maintenance of regulatory T cells. *Immunity*. Mar 21 2013;38(3):414-423.
121. Arpaia N, Green JA, Moltedo B, et al. A Distinct Function of Regulatory T Cells in Tissue Protection. *Cell*. Aug 27 2015;162(5):1078-1089.
122. Sage PT, Sharpe AH. T follicular regulatory cells. *Immunol Rev*. May 2016;271(1):246-259.
123. Sakaguchi S, Sakaguchi N, Asano M, Itoh M, Toda M. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. *Journal of immunology*. Aug 1 1995;155(3):1151-1164.
124. Rezvani K, Mielke S, Ahmadzadeh M, et al. High donor FOXP3-positive regulatory T-cell (Treg) content is associated with a low risk of GVHD following HLA-matched allogeneic SCT. *Blood*. Aug 15 2006;108(4):1291-1297.
125. Robb RJ, Lineburg KE, Kuns RD, et al. Identification and expansion of highly suppressive CD8(+)FoxP3(+) regulatory T cells after experimental allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. Jun 14 2012;119(24):5898-5908.
126. Zhang P, Tey SK, Koyama M, et al. Induced regulatory T cells promote tolerance when stabilized by rapamycin and IL-2 in vivo. *J Immunol*. Nov 15 2013;191(10):5291-5303.
127. Edinger M, Hoffmann P, Ermann J, et al. CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells preserve graft-versus-tumor activity while inhibiting graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplantation. *Nat Med*. Sep 2003;9(9):1144-1150.
128. Taylor PA, Lees CJ, Blazar BR. The infusion of ex vivo activated and expanded CD4(+)CD25(+) immune regulatory cells inhibits graft-versus-host disease lethality. *Blood*. May 15 2002;99(10):3493-3499.
129. Zorn E, Kim HT, Lee SJ, et al. Reduced frequency of FOXP3+ CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Oct 15 2005;106(8):2903-2911.
130. Rieger K, Loddenkemper C, Maul J, et al. Mucosal FOXP3+ regulatory T cells are numerically deficient in acute and chronic GvHD. *Blood*. Feb 15 2006;107(4):1717-1723.
131. Matsuoka K, Kim HT, McDonough S, et al. Altered regulatory T cell homeostasis in patients with CD4+ lymphopenia following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Clin Invest*. May 2010;120(5):1479-1493.
132. Alho AC, Kim HT, Chammas MJ, et al. Unbalanced recovery of regulatory and effector T cells after allogeneic stem cell transplantation contributes to chronic GVHD. *Blood*. Feb 4 2016;127(5):646-657.

133. Kawano Y, Kim HT, Matsuoka K, et al. Low telomerase activity in CD4+ regulatory T cells in patients with severe chronic GVHD after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. Nov 3 2011;118(18):5021-5030.
134. Suffner J, Hochweller K, Kuhnle MC, et al. Dendritic cells support homeostatic expansion of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in Foxp3.LuciDTR mice. *J Immunol*. Feb 15 2010;184(4):1810-1820.
135. Coghill JM, Fowler KA, West ML, et al. CC chemokine receptor 8 potentiates donor Treg survival and is critical for the prevention of murine graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Aug 1 2013;122(5):825-836.
136. Leveque-El Mouttie L, Koyama M, Le Texier L, et al. Corruption of dendritic cell antigen presentation during acute GVHD leads to a failure of regulatory T-cell homeostasis and chronic GVHD. *Blood*. Jun 23 2016.
137. Blair PA, Norena LY, Flores-Borja F, et al. CD19(+)CD24(hi)CD38(hi) B cells exhibit regulatory capacity in healthy individuals but are functionally impaired in systemic Lupus Erythematosus patients. *Immunity*. Jan 29 2010;32(1):129-140.
138. Iwata Y, Matsushita T, Horikawa M, et al. Characterization of a rare IL-10-competent B-cell subset in humans that parallels mouse regulatory B10 cells. *Blood*. Jan 13 2011;117(2):530-541.
139. Kheav VD, Busson M, Scieux C, et al. Favorable impact of natural killer cell reconstitution on chronic graft-versus-host disease and cytomegalovirus reactivation after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica*. Dec 2014;99(12):1860-1867.
140. Cooley S, Trachtenberg E, Bergemann TL, et al. Donors with group B KIR haplotypes improve relapse-free survival after unrelated hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood*. Jan 15 2009;113(3):726-732.
141. Noval Rivas M, Hazzan M, Weatherly K, Gaudray F, Salmon I, Braun MY. NK cell regulation of CD4 T cell-mediated graft-versus-host disease. *J Immunol*. Jun 15 2010;184(12):6790-6798.
142. Zecher D, Li Q, Oberbarnscheidt MH, et al. NK cells delay allograft rejection in lymphopenic hosts by downregulating the homeostatic proliferation of CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol*. Jun 15 2010;184(12):6649-6657.
143. Palmer JM, Rajasekaran K, Thakar MS, Malarkannan S. Clinical relevance of natural killer cells following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Journal of Cancer*. 2013;4(1):25-35.
144. Inamoto Y, Flowers ME. Treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease in 2011. *Curr Opin Hematol*. Nov 2011;18(6):414-420.
145. Flowers ME, Apperley JF, van Besien K, et al. A multicenter prospective phase 2 randomized study of extracorporeal photopheresis for treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Oct 1 2008;112(7):2667-2674.
146. Martelli MF, Di Ianni M, Ruggeri L, et al. HLA-haploidentical transplantation with regulatory and conventional T-cell adoptive immunotherapy prevents acute leukemia relapse. *Blood*. Jul 24 2014;124(4):638-644.
147. Robinson TM, O'Donnell PV, Fuchs EJ, Luznik L. Haploidentical bone marrow and stem cell transplantation: experience with post-transplantation cyclophosphamide. *Semin Hematol*. Apr 2016;53(2):90-97.
148. Yu Y, Wang D, Liu C, et al. Prevention of GVHD while sparing GVL effect by targeting Th1 and Th17 transcription factor T-bet and RORgammat in mice. *Blood*. Nov 3 2011;118(18):5011-5020.
149. Cohen JL, Trenado A, Vasey D, Klatzmann D, Salomon BL. CD4(+)CD25(+) immunoregulatory T Cells: new therapeutics for graft-versus-host disease. *J Exp Med*. Aug 5 2002;196(3):401-406.
150. Hoffmann P, Ermann J, Edinger M, Fathman CG, Strober S. Donor-type CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells suppress lethal acute graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *J Exp Med*. Aug 5 2002;196(3):389-399.

151. Di Ianni M, Falzetti F, Carotti A, et al. Tregs prevent GVHD and promote immune reconstitution in HLA-haploidentical transplantation. *Blood*. Apr 7 2011;117(14):3921-3928.
152. Brunstein CG, Miller JS, McKenna DH, et al. Umbilical cord blood-derived T regulatory cells to prevent GVHD: kinetics, toxicity profile, and clinical effect. *Blood*. Feb 25 2016;127(8):1044-1051.
153. McDonald-Hyman C, Flynn R, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, et al. Therapeutic Regulatory T-Cell Adoptive Transfer Ameliorates Established Murine Chronic GVHD in a CXCR5 Dependent Manner. *Blood*. 2016;in press.
154. Koreth J, Kim HT, Jones KT, et al. Efficacy, durability, and response predictors of low-dose interleukin-2 therapy for chronic graft vs. host disease. *Blood*. Apr 12 2016.
155. Koreth J, Matsuoka K, Kim HT, et al. Interleukin-2 and regulatory T cells in graft-versus-host disease. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 1 2011;365(22):2055-2066.
156. Cutler C, Kim HT, Bindra B, et al. Rituximab prophylaxis prevents corticosteroid-requiring chronic GVHD after allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell transplantation: results of a phase 2 trial. *Blood*. Aug 22 2013;122(8):1510-1517.
157. Arai S, Pidala J, Pusic I, et al. A Randomized Phase II Crossover Study of Imatinib or Rituximab for Cutaneous Sclerosis after Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. Jan 15 2016;22(2):319-327.
158. Spoerl S, Mathew NR, Bscheider M, et al. Activity of therapeutic JAK 1/2 blockade in graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. Jun 12 2014;123(24):3832-3842.
159. Zeiser R, Burchert A, Lengerke C, et al. Ruxolitinib in corticosteroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a multicenter survey. *Leukemia*. Oct 2015;29(10):2062-2068.
160. Pai CC, Chen M, Mirsoian A, et al. Treatment of chronic graft-versus-host disease with bortezomib. *Blood*. Sep 4 2014;124(10):1677-1688.
161. Banovic T, MacDonald KP, Morris ES, et al. TGF-beta in allogeneic stem cell transplantation: friend or foe? *Blood*. Sep 15 2005;106(6):2206-2214.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. *Schematic overview of the cellular and molecular mediators, known and implicated, contributing to the continuum of acute and chronic GVHD pathology.* Both naïve T cells (T_N) and their precursors (HSC, CLP) contained within the stem cell graft contribute to cGVHD pathology. Mature donor T cells within the graft contribute to thymic destruction resulting in disrupted immune reconstitution. Thymic dysfunction favours the selection of auto and alloreactive T cells polarized toward Th17/Tc17 lineages. Donor derived dendritic cells (DC) antigen presenting cell function is corrupted during aGVHD, reducing their capacity to expand and maintain Treg in the periphery. T follicular helper cell (T_{FH}) derived IL-21, together with elevated levels of BAFF result in aberrant B cell reconstitution favouring germinal centre B cell (GBC) expansion. Polyfunctional Th17/Tc17 cells migrate to target organs where secreted IL-17 may function as a chemokine for Ly6Cl α monocytes. CSF-1 derived in part from Th17/Tc17 promotes the differentiation of Ly6Cl α monocytes into tissue resident macrophages, which are polarized toward an M2 phenotype under the influence of multiple proinflammatory cytokines (GM-CSF, IL-22, IL-13 and IFN γ) produced by Th17/Tc17. Plasma cell derived allo/auto antibodies (Ab) can bind to Fc receptors on macrophages, contributing to their polarization and promotion of TGF β secretion, which promotes fibroblast activation and collagen production.



blood[®]

Prepublished online November 7, 2016;
doi:10.1182/blood-2016-06-686618

Chronic graft-versus-host disease: biological insights from pre-clinical and clinical studies

Kelli P.A. MacDonald, Geoffrey R. Hill and Bruce R. Blazar

Information about reproducing this article in parts or in its entirety may be found online at:
http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub_requests

Information about ordering reprints may be found online at:
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#reprints>

Information about subscriptions and ASH membership may be found online at:
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/subscriptions/index.xhtml>

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.